

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/037,593	KULIG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Ed Radlo.

**Date of Interview:** 6 November 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 18-19, 21, 25-27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** Afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Ed Radlo to discuss amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. Ed Radlo agreed for Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment amending claims 1, 18-19, 21, 25 and canceling claims 9-10, 22-23, 27. The amendments are made to avoid 101 issues and 112 issues. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith. .